

LOFO 2020

Adrien Pommellet

November 9, 2020

1A. Prove that $(\neg P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \vee Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	ψ
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	1

1B. Prove that $\neg(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \vee Q$	$\neg(P \vee Q)$	$\neg P \wedge \neg Q$	ψ
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1

2A. Is the following Hilbert system complete with regards to $\mathcal{F}_{\{\perp, \neg, \vee, \Rightarrow\}}$?

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B} [Modus Ponens] \\
 \frac{\perp \Rightarrow A \quad [\perp]}{(A \Rightarrow \perp) \Rightarrow \neg A} [\neg_1] \quad \frac{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \quad [\Rightarrow_1]}{A \Rightarrow \neg A \Rightarrow \perp} [\neg_2] \quad \frac{(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C}{(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)} [\Rightarrow_2]
 \end{array}$$

No, because no rule can handle the \vee symbol.

2B. Is the following Hilbert system complete with regards to $\mathcal{F}_{\{\perp, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow\}}$?

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B} [\text{Modus Ponens}] \\
 \\
 \frac{\perp}{A \Rightarrow A \vee B} [\vee_1] \quad \frac{\perp}{B \Rightarrow A \vee B} [\vee_2] \quad \frac{\perp}{A \vee B \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow C} [\vee_3] \\
 \frac{\perp}{A \wedge B \Rightarrow A} [\wedge_1] \quad \frac{\perp}{A \wedge B \Rightarrow B} [\wedge_2] \quad \frac{\perp}{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \wedge B} [\wedge_3] \\
 \frac{\perp}{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A} [\Rightarrow_1] \quad \frac{\perp}{(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C} [\Rightarrow_2]
 \end{array}$$

No, because no rule can handle the \perp symbol.

3A. Prove that $\{Q \wedge P, R\} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} P \wedge (R \wedge Q)$. This can be done using a proof of depth 4.

$$\boxed{
 \frac{Q \wedge P}{P} \wedge\text{Elim2} \quad \frac{\frac{R}{Q} \wedge\text{Intro}}{R \wedge Q} \wedge\text{Intro}} \\
 \frac{}{P \wedge (R \wedge Q)} \wedge\text{Intro}$$

3B. Prove that $\{P \vee Q\} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} P \vee (Q \vee R)$. This can be done using a proof of depth 4.

$$\boxed{
 \frac{P}{P \vee (Q \vee R)} \vee\text{Intro1} \quad \frac{\frac{Q}{Q \vee R} \vee\text{Intro1}}{P \vee (Q \vee R)} \vee\text{Intro2}} \\
 \frac{}{P \vee (Q \vee R)} \vee\text{Elim}$$

4A. Prove that $\{\neg P \Rightarrow Q\} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} P \vee Q$ by filling the blanks of the following tree:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\overline{P}^1}{P \vee Q} [\vee_I^l] \quad \frac{\overline{\neg(P \vee Q)}^2}{\perp} [\neg_E] \\
 \frac{\perp}{\frac{\neg P}{\neg\neg P} [\neg_I^1]} [\Rightarrow_E] \\
 \frac{\frac{Q}{P \vee Q} [\vee_I^r]}{\frac{\perp}{\frac{\neg\neg(P \vee Q)}{P \vee Q} [\neg_I^2]} [\neg\neg]} [\neg_E]
 \end{array}$$

4B. Prove that $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} ((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$ by filling the blanks of the following tree:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P \quad \neg P}{\frac{\perp}{Q}}[\perp_E]}{P \Rightarrow Q}[\Rightarrow_I]^1 \quad \frac{\neg P}{\frac{\perp}{P}}[\neg_E]^2}{\frac{P}{\frac{\perp}{\neg \neg P}}[\neg_I]^2} \quad \frac{P \Rightarrow Q}{((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P}[\Rightarrow_I]^3$$

5A. Define a term $\text{Square} \in \Lambda$ such that for any natural integer n :

$$\text{Square } \underline{n} \rightarrow_{\beta}^{*} \underline{n^2}$$

Then guess its type.

$\text{Square} = \lambda u \cdot \text{Mult } uu$ is of type $((\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma)) \rightarrow ((\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma))$.

5B. Define a term $\text{Double} \in \Lambda$ such that for any natural integer n :

$$\text{Double } \underline{n} \rightarrow_{\beta}^{*} \underline{2 \times n}$$

Then guess its type.

$\text{Double} = \lambda u \cdot \text{Plus } uu$ is of type $((\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma)) \rightarrow ((\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma))$.

6. Prove that $\Theta = (\lambda xy \cdot y(xxy))(\lambda uv \cdot v(uuv))$ is a fixed-point combinator.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta A &= \theta \theta A \\
&= ((\lambda xy \cdot y(xxy)\theta) A \\
&\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y \cdot y(\theta \theta y)) A \\
&\rightarrow_{\beta} A(\theta \theta A) \\
&= A \Theta A
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Theta A \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^{*} A(\Theta A)$.

7. Prove that $\vdash KI : \tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$.

K is of primary type $\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$, thus also of type $(\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma)$. I is of type $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$. KI is therefore of type $\tau \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ by the application rule.

8A. Prove that $\vdash_{\mathcal{NI}} (P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (Q \wedge P)$.

Then find a term in Λ_{ext} of type $\sigma \times \tau \rightarrow \tau \times \sigma$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{P \wedge Q}{Q}^1 [\wedge_E^r] \quad \frac{P \wedge Q}{P}^1 [\wedge_E^l]}{Q \wedge P} [\wedge_I]}{(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (Q \wedge P)} [\Rightarrow_I]^1 \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{x : \sigma \times \tau}{\Pi_2(x) : \tau}^1 \quad \frac{x : \sigma \times \tau}{\Pi_1(x) : \sigma}^1}{\langle \Pi_2(x), \Pi_1(x) \rangle : \tau \times \sigma}}{\lambda x \cdot \langle \Pi_2(x), \Pi_1(x) \rangle : \sigma \times \tau \rightarrow \tau \times \sigma}^1
\end{array}$$

8B. Prove that $\vdash_{\mathcal{NI}} (P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (Q \vee P)$.

Then find a term in Λ_{ext} of type $\sigma \cup \tau \rightarrow \tau \cup \sigma$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{P \vee Q}}{Q \vee P}^2 [\vee_I^r] \quad \frac{\overline{Q \vee P}}{Q \vee P}^2 [\vee_E^l]}{Q \vee P} [\Rightarrow_I]^1}{(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (Q \vee P)} \\
\\
\frac{\frac{\frac{x : \sigma \cup \tau}{K_2(y) : \tau \cup \sigma}^1 \quad \frac{\overline{y : \sigma}^2}{K_2(y) : \tau \cup \sigma} \quad \frac{\overline{z : \tau}^2}{K_1(z) : \tau \cup \sigma}_2}{\oplus(K_2(y), K_1(z), x) : \tau \cup \sigma}}{\lambda x \cdot \oplus(K_2(y), K_1(z), x) : (\sigma \cup \tau) \rightarrow (\tau \cup \sigma)}^1
\end{array}$$