

LOFO 2020

Adrien Pommellet

November 9, 2020

1A. Prove that $(\neg P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	ψ
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	1

1B. Prove that $\neg(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ is a tautology.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$	ψ
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1

2A. Is the following Hilbert system complete with regards to $\mathcal{F}_{\{\perp, \neg, \vee, \Rightarrow\}}$?

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B} \text{ [Modus Ponens]} \\
 \frac{}{\perp \Rightarrow A} [\perp] \quad \frac{}{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A} [\Rightarrow 1] \quad \frac{}{(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C} [\Rightarrow 2] \\
 \frac{}{(A \Rightarrow \perp) \Rightarrow \neg A} [\neg 1] \quad \frac{}{A \Rightarrow \neg A \Rightarrow \perp} [\neg 2]
 \end{array}$$

No, because no rule can handle the \vee symbol.

2B. Is the following Hilbert system complete with regards to $\mathcal{F}_{\{\perp, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow\}}$?

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{B} A \text{ [Modus Ponens]}$$

$$\frac{}{A \Rightarrow A \vee B} [\vee_1] \quad \frac{}{B \Rightarrow A \vee B} [\vee_2] \quad \frac{}{A \vee B \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow C} [\vee_3]$$

$$\frac{}{A \wedge B \Rightarrow A} [\wedge_1] \quad \frac{}{A \wedge B \Rightarrow B} [\wedge_2] \quad \frac{}{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \wedge B} [\wedge_3]$$

$$\frac{}{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A} [\Rightarrow_1] \quad \frac{}{(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow C} [\Rightarrow_2]$$

No, because no rule can handle the \perp symbol.

3A. Prove that $\{Q \wedge P, R\} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} P \wedge (R \wedge Q)$. This can be done using a proof of depth 4.

$$\frac{\frac{Q \wedge P}{P} \wedge\text{Elim2} \quad \frac{R \quad \frac{Q \wedge P}{Q} \wedge\text{Elim1}}{R \wedge Q} \wedge\text{Intro}}{P \wedge (R \wedge Q)} \wedge\text{Intro}$$

3B. Prove that $\{P \vee Q\} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} P \vee (Q \vee R)$. This can be done using a proof of depth 4.

$$\frac{P \vee Q \quad \frac{[P]}{P \vee (Q \vee R)} \vee\text{Intro1}}{P \vee (Q \vee R)} \vee\text{Elim} \quad \frac{[Q]}{Q \vee R} \vee\text{Intro1} \quad \frac{[Q]}{P \vee (Q \vee R)} \vee\text{Intro2}$$

4A. Prove that $\{\neg P \Rightarrow Q\} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} P \vee Q$ by filling the blanks of the following tree:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{P}^1}{P \vee Q} [\vee_I^1] \quad \frac{}{\neg(P \vee Q)}^2 [\neg_E]}{\neg P \Rightarrow Q} \quad \frac{\perp}{\neg P} [\neg_I]^1}{\frac{Q}{P \vee Q} [\vee_I^1] \quad \frac{}{\neg(P \vee Q)}^2 [\neg_E]}{\frac{\perp}{\neg\neg(P \vee Q)} [\neg_I]^2} [\Rightarrow_E]}{\frac{\perp}{P \vee Q} [\neg_I]^2} [\neg\neg]$$

4B. Prove that $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} ((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$ by filling the blanks of the following tree:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{P \wedge Q}{Q}^1 [\wedge_E^r] \quad \frac{P \wedge Q}{P}^1 [\wedge_E^l]}{Q \wedge P} [\wedge_I] \\
\frac{}{(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (Q \wedge P)} [\Rightarrow_I]^1 \\
\\
\frac{\frac{x : \sigma \times \tau}{\Pi_2(x) : \tau}^1 \quad \frac{x : \sigma \times \tau}{\Pi_1(x) : \sigma}^1}{\langle \Pi_2(x), \Pi_1(x) \rangle : \tau \times \sigma} \\
\frac{}{\lambda x \cdot \langle \Pi_2(x), \Pi_1(x) \rangle : \sigma \times \tau \rightarrow \tau \times \sigma}^1
\end{array}$$

8B. Prove that $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}} (P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (Q \vee P)$.

Then find a term in Λ_{ext} of type $\sigma \cup \tau \rightarrow \tau \cup \sigma$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{P \vee Q}{Q \vee P}^1 \quad \frac{P}{Q \vee P}^2 [\vee_I^r] \quad \frac{Q}{Q \vee P}^2 [\vee_I^l]}{Q \vee P} [\vee_E]^2 \\
\frac{}{(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (Q \vee P)} [\Rightarrow_I]^1 \\
\\
\frac{\frac{x : \sigma \cup \tau}{}^1 \quad \frac{y : \sigma}{K_2(y) : \tau \cup \sigma}^2 \quad \frac{z : \tau}{K_1(z) : \tau \cup \sigma}^2}{\oplus(K_2(y), K_1(z), x) : \tau \cup \sigma}^2 \\
\frac{}{\lambda x \cdot \oplus(K_2(y), K_1(z), x) : (\sigma \cup \tau) \rightarrow (\tau \cup \sigma)}^1
\end{array}$$